arXiv:1002.0174v1 [math.DG] 1 Feb 2010

ALGEBRAIC CONSTANT MEAN CURVATURE SURFACES IN EUCLIDEAN SPACE

OSCAR M. PERDOMO

Abstract. In this paper we prove that the only algebraic constant mean curvature (cmc) surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^3$ of order less than four are the planes, the spheres and the cylinders. The method used heavily depends on the efficiency of algorithms to compute Groebner Bases and also on the memory capacity of the computer used to do the computations. We will also prove that the problem of finding algebraic constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space completely reduces to the problem of solving a system of polynomial equations.

1. Introduction

There is no doubt that level sets of a polynomial function on $\mathbf{R}^3$ are among the easiest surfaces to describe. These surfaces are called algebraic, and the degree of the polynomial defines the order of the algebraic surface. Some examples of surfaces with constant mean curvature (cmc) that are algebraic are planes, spheres, cylinders and Enneper surfaces with order 1, 2, 2 and 9 respectively. The planes and the Enneper surfaces are minimal, that is, they have mean curvature 0. It is known that any algebraic minimal surface in $\mathbf{R}^3$ different from a plane must have order greater than 5, see page 162 of Nitsche book [3]. Here we will be considering non-minimal algebraic surfaces with cmc. The only known examples are the cylinders and the spheres. In this paper we give a first step toward a proof that these surfaces may be the only ones. We prove that the level set of an irreducible polynomial of degree three cannot be a surface with constant mean curvature. The method used consists in finding equations for the coefficients of the polynomial whose level set have cmc. Theoretically, we can get as many equations as we want but the computations needed to get the equations get harder and harder as the number of equations increases as well as the complexity of the equations obtained.

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor William Adkins for giving him references and explanations on the real nullstellensatz theorem.

2. Main Result

Let us start this section with the following lemma,

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $f : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ *be a smooth function,* $S = f^{-1}(0)$ *and* $S_r = \{x \in S : \nabla f(x) \neq \mathbf{0}\}$. *The mean curvature of* S_r *is given by*

$$H(x) = \frac{1}{2(n-1)|\nabla f|^3}\left(2|\nabla f|^2 \Delta f - \langle \nabla |\nabla f|^2, \nabla f\rangle\right)$$

Date: February 1, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C42, 53A10.

Proof. Since $-\frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}$ defines a Gauss map on S_r, we get that the second fundamental form on S_r is given by

$$\mathrm{II}(v,w)=\frac{1}{|\nabla f|}\langle D^2f(v),w\rangle \quad \text{where } D^2f \text{ is the Hessian matrix of } f$$

If follows that the mean curvature of S_r at a point x is given by

$$H(x)=\frac{1}{(n-1)|\nabla f|}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\langle D^2f(v_i),v_i\rangle \quad \text{where } \{v_1,\dots,v_{n-1}\} \text{ is any orthonormal basis of } T_xS_r$$

The lemma follows by using the following two facts: $\Delta f(x)=\langle D^2f(\frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}),\frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}\rangle+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\langle D^2f(v_i),v_i\rangle$ and $\langle\nabla|\nabla f|^2,\nabla f\rangle=\nabla f\langle\nabla f,\nabla f\rangle=2\langle D^2f\,\nabla f,\nabla f\rangle$.

□

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f:\mathbf{R}^n\to\mathbf{R}$ be an irreducible polynomial. If $f^{-1}(0)$ has constant mean curvature H, then there exists a polynomial $p:\mathbf{R}^n\to\mathbf{R}$ such that*

$$(2|\nabla f|^2\Delta f-\langle\nabla|\nabla f|^2,\nabla f\rangle)^2-4(n-1)^2H^2|\nabla f|^6=p\,f$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.1 and the following variation of the Real Nullstellensatz Theorem that can be found in page 14 of Milnor's book [2]:

Let V be a real or complex algebraic set defined by a single polynomial equation $f(x)=0$; with f irreducible. In the real case make the additional hypothesis that V contains a regular point of f. Then every polynomial which vanishes on V is a multiple of f.

□

As a consequence of the previous lemma we have that the problem of finding algebraic hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in $\mathbf{R}^n$ reduces to the problem of solving a system of polynomial equations.

Theorem 2.3. *If $f:\mathbf{R}^3\to\mathbf{R}$ is an irreducible polynomial, then $f^{-1}(0)$ cannot be an immersed surface with cmc.*

Proof. Let us argue by contradiction. Let us assume that $S=f^{-1}(0)$ is a complete constant mean curvature surface different from a sphere or a cylinder. By considering a dilation of S instead if needed, without loss of generality, we may assume that the mean curvature of S is 1. By [1], we get that the Gauss curvature of S must change sign. It follows that there must exist a non constant curve α in S where the Gauss curvature vanishes. We will consider two cases: Case I when there is point in α where the gradient of f is not the zero vector and Case II when the gradient of f vanishes on α.

Let S_r be the set of regular points in S, that is

$$S_r=\{x\in S\subset\mathbf{R}^3:\nabla f(x)\neq(0,0,0)\}$$

Notice that S_r is not empty, otherwise M will be contained in the quadric ${\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}}^{-1}(0)$.

Case I: Without loss of generality, we can assume that the origin $\mathbf{0}=(0,0,0)$ is in S_r and that the gradient of f at $\mathbf{0}$ is the vector $(0,0,1)$; that is, we may assume that f takes the following form

$$\begin{aligned} f \;=\; & a_1\, x_1{}^3 + a_2\, x_2{}^3 + a_3\, x_3{}^3 + a_4\, x_1{}^2 x_2 + a_5\, x_1{}^2 x_3 + a_6 x_2{}^2 x_1 + a_7\, x_2{}^2 x_3 + a_8\, x_3{}^2 x_1 + \\ & a_9 x_3{}^2 x_2 + a_{10}\, x_1\, x_2\, x_3 + b_1 x_1{}^2 + b_2 x_2{}^2 + b_3 x_3{}^2 + b_4 x_1\, x_2 + b_5 x_1 x_3 + b_6 x_2\, x_3 + x_3 \end{aligned}$$

Since in this case we are assuming that there exists a regular point in M where the Gauss curvature vanishes, we will also assume that the Gauss curvature at $\mathbf{0}$ is 0, and moreover, that $(1,0,0)$ defines a principal direction associated with the principal curvature 2 and that $(0,1,0)$ defines a principal direction associated with the principal curvature 0. These assumptions imply that

$$b_1 = 1, \quad b_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b_4 = 0$$

If we define the $g : \mathbf{R}^3 \to \mathbf{R}$ by

$$g = 2\nabla f\, \Delta f \;-\; \langle \nabla |\nabla f|^2, \nabla f\rangle \;-\; 4|\nabla f|^3$$

then, by Lemma 2.1 we get that $g(x) = 0$ anytime $f(x) = 0$. It follows that the gradient of g must be a multiple of the gradient of f near the origin. Therefore the functions

$$G_1 = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \quad \text{and} \quad G_2 = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}$$

must vanish at every point where f vanishes. Using the same argument we have that the functions

$$G_{11} = \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1},\; G_{12} = \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \quad \text{and} \quad G_{22} = \frac{\partial G_2}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial G_2}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}$$

vanish at every point where f vanishes. Likewise, we can keep using the same argument and define the functions

$$G_{111},\, G_{112},\, G_{122},\, G_{222},\, G_{1111},\, G_{1112},\, G_{1122},\, G_{1222},\, G_{2222}$$

$$G_{11111},\, G_{11112},\, G_{11122},\, G_{11222},\, G_{12222} \quad \text{and} \quad G_{22222}$$

When we evaluate the functions above at $\mathbf{0}$ we get polynomial equations on the coefficients of f. They are of the form

$$q_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad q_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = G_{i_1 \ldots i_k}(0,0,0)$$

A direct computation shows that q_1 and q_2 are given by

$$q_1 = 4\,(3a_1 + a_6 - 3b_5) \quad \text{and} \quad q_2 = 4\,(3a_2 + a_4 - b_6)$$

Therefore, from the equations $q_1 = 0$ and $q_2 = 0$ we get that

$$a_6 = -3a_1 + 3b_5 \quad \text{and} \quad a_4 = -3a_2 + b_6$$

A direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} q_{11} &= -8\left(6a_5 + a_7 - 3\left(-2 + 2b_3 - a_1 b_5 + b_5^2 + a_2 b_6\right)\right) \\ q_{12} &= -12\,(a_{10} - 2\,(a_2 b_5 + (a_1 - b_5)\, b_6)) \\ q_{22} &= -8\left(a_7 + 3\left(-a_1 b_5 + b_5^2 + a_2 b_6\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from the equations $q_{11}=0$, q_{12} and $q_{22}=0$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
a_5 &= -1+b_3-a_1b_5+b_5^2+a_2b_6\\
a_{10} &= 2\left(a_2b_5+(a_1-b_5)\,b_6\right)\\
a_7 &= 3a_1b_5-3\left(b_5^2+a_2b_6\right)
\end{aligned}$$

A direct computation shows that

$$q_{111}=24\left(10a_8+4a_1^2b_5+b_5\left(13+6a_2^2-7b_3+4b_5^2+a_2b_6-3b_6^2\right)+a_1\left(-23+7b_3-8b_5^2+2a_2b_6+3b_6^2\right)\right)$$

$$q_{112}=-24\left(-2a_9+4a_2^2b_6+\left(2-2a_1^2+a_1b_5+b_5^2\right)b_6+a_2\left(-11+5b_3-6a_1b_5+7b_5^2+4b_6^2\right)\right)$$

$$q_{122}=24\left(12a_1^2b_5-a_1\left(-3+3b_3+24b_5^2+10a_2b_6+3b_6^2\right)+b_5\left(2a_2^2+7a_2b_6+3\left(-1+b_3+4b_5^2+b_6^2\right)\right)\right)$$

$$q_{222}=24\left(20a_2^2b_6+3\left(2a_1^2-5a_1b_5+3b_5^2\right)b_6+a_2\left(1+b_3-14a_1b_5+15b_5^2+4b_6^2\right)\right)$$

Therefore, from the equations $q_{111}=0$ and $q_{112}=0$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
a_8 &= \frac{1}{10}\Big(-4a_1^2b_5+a_1\left(23-7b_3+8b_5^2-2a_2b_6-3b_6^2\right)-b_5\left(13+6a_2^2-7b_3+4b_5^2+a_2b_6-3b_6^2\right)\Big)\\
a_9 &= \frac{1}{2}\left(4a_2^2b_6+\left(2-2a_1^2+a_1b_5+b_5^2\right)b_6+a_2\left(-11+5b_3-6a_1b_5+7b_5^2+4b_6^2\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

A direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{1111} &= -\frac{48}{5}\Big(96a_1^3b_5+120a_2^3b_6+3a_1^2\left(-19+26b_3-86b_5^2-4a_2b_6-6b_6^2\right)+\\
&\quad 3a_1b_5\left(73-12a_2^2-47b_3+76b_5^2-38a_2b_6+12b_6^2\right)+\\
&\quad a_2b_6\left(-295+85b_3+156b_5^2+30b_6^2\right)+a_2^2\left(75+60b_3+56b_5^2+110b_6^2\right)+\\
&\quad 3\left(50+50a_3-54b_5^2-22b_5^4+b_3\left(-50+21b_5^2\right)-6b_5^2b_6^2\right)\Big)\\
q_{1112} &= -\frac{24}{5}\Big(10a_1^3b_6-3a_1^2b_5\left(26a_2+55b_6\right)+\\
&\quad b_5\left(-162a_2^3+223a_2^2b_6+a_2\left(-421+169b_3+67b_5^2-139b_6^2\right)-5b_6\left(-27+15b_3+35b_5^2+6b_6^2\right)\right)+\\
&\quad a_1\left(-74a_2^2b_6+15b_6\left(-9+5b_3+22b_5^2+2b_6^2\right)+a_2\left(496-214b_3+b_5^2+129b_6^2\right)\right)\Big)\\
q_{1122} &= \frac{24}{5}\Big(48a_1^3b_5-160a_2^3b_6-2a_2^2\left(-85+70b_3+181b_5^2-70b_6^2\right)+\\
&\quad 2a_1^2\left(-183+72b_3+108b_5^2+52a_2b_6-12b_6^2\right)+\\
&\quad 3b_5^2\left(-117+63b_3+104b_5^2+17b_6^2\right)+3a_2b_6\left(-30+30b_3+221b_5^2+20b_6^2\right)-\\
&\quad a_1b_5\left(-717-312a_2^2+333b_3+576b_5^2+782a_2b_6+27b_6^2\right)\Big)\\
q_{1222} &= \frac{24}{5}\Big(90a_1^3b_6+3a_1^2b_5\left(86a_2+45b_6\right)+\\
&\quad b_5\left(-18a_2^3+467a_2^2b_6+15b_6\left(-9+9b_3+21b_5^2+8b_6^2\right)+a_2\left(-339+231b_3+513b_5^2+259b_6^2\right)\right)-\\
&\quad a_1\left(186a_2^2b_6+15b_6\left(-9+9b_3+36b_5^2+8b_6^2\right)+a_2\left(-384+246b_3+771b_5^2+379b_6^2\right)\right)\Big)\\
q_{2222} &= 48\Big(8a_2^3b_6+3a_1b_5\left(18-4a_2^2-12b_3+12b_5^2-25b_6^2\right)+\\
&\quad 9b_5^2\left(-3+2b_3-2b_5^2+5b_6^2\right)+a_2b_6\left(9+5b_3+7b_5^2+18b_6^2\right)+\\
&\quad a_1^2\left(-27+18b_3-18b_5^2-4a_2b_6+30b_6^2\right)+a_2^2\left(-31+28b_3+22b_5^2+66b_6^2\right)\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

By a direct computation we also get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{11111} &= 24\big(-6a_1^3b_5\,(82a_2-195b_6)-180a_1^4b_6+600a_2^4b_6+\\
&\quad 2a_2^3\,(-1095+375b_3+529b_5^2-420b_6^2)+45b_5^2b_6\,(-14+8b_3-34b_5^2+13b_6^2)+\\
&\quad 2a_2^2b_6\,(-345+150b_3-891b_5^2+50b_6^2)+\\
&\quad 2a_1^2\,(42a_2^2b_6+a_2\,(-903+267b_3+303b_5^2-182b_6^2)+15b_6\,(-21+12b_3-111b_5^2+13b_6^2))+\\
&\quad a_2\,(-438b_5^4+3b_5^2\,(-542+58b_3-583b_6^2)+5b_6^2\,(37+13b_3+44b_6^2))+\\
&\quad a_1b_5\,(-828a_2^3+1858a_2^2b_6-15b_6\,(-84+48b_3-258b_5^2+65b_6^2)+a_2\,(3432-708b_3+324b_5^2+2138b_6^2))\big)\\
q_{11112} &= -\frac{72}{5}\big(72a_1^4b_5+2a_1^3\,(-477+63b_3-744b_5^2-82a_2b_6-183b_6^2)+\\
&\quad 2a_1^2b_5\,(1056-250a_2^2+51b_3+2016b_5^2+621a_2b_6+404b_6^2)+\\
&\quad b_5\big(-12a_2^4+1272b_5^4-78a_2^3b_6-25b_6^2\,(-11+11b_3+9b_6^2)+\\
&\quad b_5^2\,(204+354b_3+76b_6^2)-\\
&\quad a_2b_6\,(-902+498b_3+476b_5^2+167b_6^2)-2a_2^2\,(-732+253b_3+150b_5^2+745b_6^2)\big)+\\
&\quad a_1\big(-3888b_5^4+396a_2^3b_6+25b_6^2\,(-11+11b_3+9b_6^2)+2a_2^2\,(-797+243b_3+410b_5^2+111b_6^2)-\\
&\quad 2b_5^2\,(681+291b_3+259b_6^2)+2a_2b_6\,(274b_3-7\,(78+43b_5^2-28b_6^2))\big)\big)\\
q_{11122} &= -\frac{24}{5}\big(120a_2^4b_6-a_2^2b_6\,(2140+1020a_1^2-1960b_3+2720a_1b_5-4211b_5^2+940b_6^2)+\\
&\quad 2a_2^3\,(-435+75b_3+90a_1b_5-134b_5^2+1420b_6^2)+\\
&\quad 3\,(a_1-b_5)\,b_6\big(180a_1^3-672a_1^2b_5-3a_1\,(-418+122b_3+67b_5^2-42b_6^2)+\\
&\quad b_5\,(-1179+531b_3+693b_5^2+124b_6^2)\big)+\\
&\quad a_2\big(1860a_1^3b_5-2a_1^2\,(-525+465b_3+2916b_5^2+844b_6^2)+a_1b_5\,(-3006+2364b_3+6429b_5^2+8525b_6^2)+\\
&\quad 3\,(30+60a_3-10b_3^2+637b_5^2-819b_5^4+350b_6^2-2249b_5^2b_6^2-220b_6^4-b_3\,(20+473b_5^2+350b_6^2))\big)\big)
\end{aligned}
$$

A direct computation using a computer program (Mathematica for example) shows that a Groebner Basis for the set of polynomials

$$\{q_{122},q_{222},q_{1111},q_{1112},q_{1122},q_{1222},q_{2222},q_{11111},q_{11112},q_{11122}\}$$

with respect to the variables $\{a_1,a_2,b_5,b_6,b_3\}$ is $\{-1-a_3+b_3,a_2,a_1-b_5\}$. Therefore it follows that

$$a_2=0,\quad b_5=a_1\quad\text{and}\quad b_3=1+a_3$$

Replacing these and the previous equations for the coefficients of f we get that

$$f=(1+a_1x_1+b_6x_2+a_3x_3)\left(x_1^2+x_3+x_3^2\right)$$

which is a contradiction because f is irreducible. This finishes the proof of Case I.

Let us prove case II. Let us assume that the curve α defined above contains the origin, it is parametrized by arc-length and its velocity at the origin is the vector $(1,0,0)$. The assumption made in case II implies that not only f vanishes on the points in α but all the 3 partial derivatives of f vanish on these points. Since we are assuming that the origin is in S then,

$$\begin{aligned} f &= a_1x_1^3 + a_2x_2^3 + a_3x_3^3 + a_4x_1^2x_2 + a_5x_1^2x_3 + a_6x_2^2x_1 + a_7x_2^2x_3 + a_8x_3^2x_1 + \\ &\quad a_9x_3^2x_2 + a_{10}x_1x_2x_3 + b_1x_1^2 + b_2x_2^2 + b_3x_3^2 + b_4x_1x_2 + b_5x_1x_3 + b_6x_2x_3 \end{aligned}$$

Since the functions $t \to \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\alpha(t))$ vanish, then their derivatives at zero are zero, that is, $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_1}(0) = 0$; therefore

$$b_{11} = 0, \quad b_4 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b_5 = 0$$

A direct computation using the fact that $\langle \alpha''(0), \alpha'(0) \rangle = 0$, shows that the second derivative of the function $t \to \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\alpha(t))$ at zero is $\frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x_1^3}(0)$; therefore, we also have that

$$a_1 = 0$$

These equations on the coefficients of f imply that $f(x_1, 0, 0) = 0$ for all $x_1 \in \mathbf{R}$, that is, we have that the x_1-axis is contained in S. Let us define

$$u = (2|\nabla f|^2 \Delta f - \langle \nabla |\nabla f|^2, \nabla f \rangle)^2 - 4(n-1)^2 |\nabla f|^6$$

by either Lemma 2.1 or Lemma 2.2, we have that the polynomial in the variable x_1, $p_1(x_1) = u(x_1, 0, 0)$ vanishes. A direct computation shows that the coefficient of x_1^{12} is $-16(a_4^2 + a_5^2)^3$; therefore,

$$a_4 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_5 = 0$$

If we assume that $a_8 \neq 0$, then, a direct computation shows that $f(-\frac{b_3 + a_3x_3}{a_8}, 0, x_3) = 0$ for all x_3; therefore, we would have that $p_2(x_3) = u(-\frac{b_3 + a_3x_3}{a_8}, 0, x_3)$ must also vanish. A direct computation shows that the coefficient of x_3^{12} is $-\frac{16((a10a3 - a8a9)^2 + a8^2(a3^2 + a8^2))^3}{a_8^6}$; therefore, a_8 must be zero which contradict the initial assumption that $a_8 \neq 0$. Therefore

$$a_8 = 0$$

If we assume that $a_6 \neq 0$, then, a direct computation shows that $f(-\frac{b2 + a2x2}{a6}, x_2, 0) = 0$ for all x_2; therefore, we would have that $p_3(x_2) = u(-\frac{b2 + a2x2}{a6}, x_2, 0)$ must also vanish. A direct computation shows that the coefficient of x_2^{12} is $-\frac{16((a10a2 - a6a7)^2 + a6^2(a2^2 + a6^2))^3}{a_6^6}$; therefore, a_6 must be zero which contradict the initial assumption that $a_6 \neq 0$. Therefore

$$a_6 = 0$$

At this point we may assume that a_{10} is not zero, otherwise f would be independent of x_1 which is impossible since the only cylinder that has mean curvature 1 is a circular cylinder. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$a_{10} = 1$$

A direct computation shows that for any nonzero x_2 and x_3

$$f\Big(\frac{-b_2x_2^2 - a_2x_2^3 - b_6x_2x_3 - a_7x_2^2x_3 - b_3x_3^2 - a_9x_2x_3^2 - a_3x_3^3}{x_2x_3} \, , \, x_2 \, , \, x_3 \Big) = 0$$

Therefore the polynomial function

$$p_4(x_2,x_3)=(x_2x_3)^6\,u\Big(\frac{-b_2x_2^2-a_2x_2^3-b_6x_2x_3-a_7x_2^2x_3-b_3x_3^2-a_9x_2x_3^2-a_3x_3^3}{x_2x_3}\,,\,x_2\,,\,x_3\,\Big)$$

must also vanish. A direct computation shows that the coefficient of the term x_3^{18} of p_4 is $-16b_3^6$; therefore,

$$b_3=0$$

A direct computation shows that the coefficient of the term x_3^{24} of p_4 is $-16a_3^6$; therefore,

$$a_3=0$$

Therefore the f takes the following form: $x_2(b_2x_2+a_2x_2^2+b_6x_3+x_1x_3+a_7x_2x_3+a_9x_3^2)$. This is a contradiction because we are assuming that f is irreducible. This finishes the proof of the theorem.
■ □

References

[1] Klotz, T & Osserman, R. *Complete surfaces in E^3 with constant mean curvature*, Comment Math. Helv. **41.** (1966/1967) 313-318.

[2] Milnor, J. *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, Annal of Mathematical Studies Number 61. Princeton University Press and the Tokyo Press. Princeton, New Jersey (1968).

[3] Nitsche, J *Lectures on minimal surfaces Volume 1*, Cambridge University Press (1989).

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Central Connecticut State University, New Britain, CT 06050,

E-mail address: `perdomoosm@ccsu.edu`